Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Ray J. Butcher,^a* Yousef M. Hijji^b and Ellis Benjamin^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Howard University, 525 College Street NW, Washington, DC 20059, USA, and ^bChemistry Department, Morgan State University, 1700 East Cold Spring Lane, Baltimore, MD, USA

Correspondence e-mail: raymond.butcher@nrl.navy.mil

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å R factor = 0.049 wR factor = 0.134 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. cis-3-Azabicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-2,4-dione

The title compound, $C_6H_7NO_2$, was synthesized from *cis*-1,2cyclobutanedicarboxylic anhydride by reaction with ammonium acetate under microwave conditions. The crystal structure of the compound shows that the cyclobutane ring is planar with angles ranging from 89.64 (12) to 90.37 (12)°. The *cis*-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-2,4-dione molecules are linked into a chain formation through hydrogen N-H··· O=C bonds. Parallel packing is seen between two cyclobutane rings related by inversion symmetry.

Comment

The synthesis and biological evaluation of the title compound, 3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-2,4-dione, (I), and its analogues are of interest to synthetic medicinal chemists. Previous syntheses of the title compound used ammonia gas, urea (Crockett & Koch, 1977), and ammonium hydroxide (Rice & Grogan, 1957). However, this is the first microwave synthesis of this compound.



Cyclic imides and their derivatives are known to possess many structural (Lewis et al., 2002), photophysical (Giaimo et al., 2002) and pharmaceutical properties (Britton et al., 2001). Specifically, intermediates of the title compound are currently used for the creation of the topisomerase inhibitors (Axelle et al., 2003; Hasinoff et al., 1997), and antitumor pharmaceuticals (Ren & Lien, 2004; Moore et al., 1990). While the structures of several derivatives of 3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-2,4-dione have been determined (Ichimura et al., 1980; Chow & Naguib, 1984; Deutsch et al., 1984; Shimo et al., 1998; Warrener et al., 1994; Booker-Milburn et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2003; Booker-Milburn et al., 2001; Obata et al., 2001; Usman et al., 2001; Edwards et al., 1996), the structure of the title compound has not been established. The *cis* formation is evident with the sp^3 configuration at C1-C2-C3 and C6-C5-C4, maintaining angles of 114.58 (15) and 114.57 (14)°, respectively. The cyclobutane group is planar with bond angles between 89.64 (12) and 90.37 (12)°. Parallel packing is seen between two cyclobutane ring systems related by inversion symmetry, with N-H···O=C hydrogen bonds being formed between alternating molecules down the a axis.

Received 21 February 2006 Accepted 25 February 2006

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography

All rights reserved





A view of the molecule, showing the atom-labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 20% probability level. H atoms are represented by circles of arbitrary size.





The molecular packing viewed down the *a* axis. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

Experimental

cis-1,2-Cyclobutanedicarboxylic anhydride (1.0 g, 7.90 mmol) and ammonium acetate (NH₄OAc; 0.60 g, 7.9 mmol) were mixed in an 8 ml Teflon-capped vial. The sample was irradiated in a Kennmore microwave (1100 W, frequency 2450 MHz) for 100 s at full power and then cooled to room temperature. The product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica (30 g) eluted with ethyl acetate/ hexanes (1:1) yielding 0.71 g of a white solid (72%). M.p. 373–375; ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, CDCl3) δ 23.14 (CH₂), 40.22 (CH), 182.11 (C=O); MS m/z 54, 82, 125 (M +); IR (chloroform) (ν_{max} , cm⁻¹): 1725.4, 1781.0 (2C=O). In an alternative synthesis, cis-1,2-cyclobutanedicarboxylic anhydride (0.20 g, 1.59 mmol) and ammonium acetate (NH₄OAc, 0.15 g, 1.95 mmol) were mixed thoroughly in a CEMsealed vial with a magnetic stirrer. The sample was heated for 5 min at 423 K in a CEM Discover microwave powered at 150 W. It was then cooled rapidly to 313 K and dissolved in 25 ml of ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with distilled water $(2 \times 5 \text{ ml})$ and dried over sodium sulfate (anhydrous). The dried organic layer afforded 0.14 g of a white solid (71%). MS m/z: 125 (M+), 82, 54. Crystals were grown by slow evaporation of a CHCl₃ solution.



C₆H₇NO₂ $M_r = 125.13$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 9.4771 (5) Å b = 7.6956 (5) Å c = 8.3279(5) Å $\beta = 103.763 \ (4)^{\circ}$ V = 589.93 (6) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker P4 diffractometer $2\theta/\omega$ scans Absorption correction: none 1319 measured reflections 1081 independent reflections 1008 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.079$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$ $vR(F^2) = 0.134$	
S = 1.08	
081 reflections	
33 parameters	
H-atom parameters constrained	

 $D_r = 1.409 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu Ka radiation Cell parameters from 79 reflections $\theta = 2.5 - 27.9^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.90 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Chunk, colorless $0.58 \times 0.55 \times 0.40$ mm

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 69.0^{\circ}$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 9$ $l = -10 \rightarrow 10$ 3 standard reflections every 97 reflections intensity decay: none

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0725P)^2]$ + 0.1848P] where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.23 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.19 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXL97 Extinction coefficient: 0.078 (12)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O1-C1	1.2146 (19)	C2-C5	1.530 (2)
O2-C6	1.213 (2)	C2-C3	1.547 (2)
N-C6	1.377 (2)	C3-C4	1.531 (2)
N-C1	1.380 (2)	C4-C5	1.554 (2)
C1-C2 1.493 (2)		C5-C6	1.500 (2)
C6-N-C1	113.81 (13)	C3-C4-C5	90.10 (12)
O1-C1-N	123.72 (15)	C6-C5-C2	105.09 (12)
O1-C1-C2	128.14 (15)	C6-C5-C4	114.57 (14)
N-C1-C2	108.11 (13)	C2-C5-C4	89.64 (12)
C1-C2-C5	105.12 (13)	O2-C6-N	124.25 (16)
C1-C2-C3	114.58 (15)	O2-C6-C5	127.86 (16)
C5-C2-C3	90.37 (12)	N-C6-C5	107.87 (13)
C4-C3-C2	89.88 (12)		. ,

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$			
$N-H2B\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.86	2.08	2.9163 (19)	164			
Symmetry code: (i) $-x + 1$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$.							

All H atoms were initially located in a difference Fourier map. They were then placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms with C-H distances of 0.97 and 0.98 Å for CH and CH₂, respectively, and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The N-H distance was constrained to 0.86 Å, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(N)$.

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: XSCANS; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

Support to EB was provided by (RCMI) NIH grant No. RR17581.

References

- Axelle, R.-C., Sorensen, T. K., Jensen, P. B., Nitiss, J. L., Sokilde, B., Sehested, M. L. & Jensen, L. H. (2003). *Mol. Pharmacol.* 63, 1159–1168.
- Booker-Milburn, K. I., Anson, C. E., Clissold, C., Costin, N. J., Dainty, R. F., Murray, M., Patel, D. & Sharpe, A. (2001). *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* pp. 1473– 1477.
- Booker-Milburn, K. I., Wood, P. M., Dainty, R. F., Urquhart, M. W., White, A. J., Lyon, H. J. & Charmant, J. P. H. (2002). *Org. Lett.* **4**, 1487–1489.
- Britton, R., de Oliveira, J. H. H. L., Andersen, R. J. & Berlinck, R. G. S. (2001). J. Nat. Prod. 64, 254–255.
- Bruker (1999). SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chow, Y. L. & Naguib, Y. M. A. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 1165– 1171.

- Crockett, G. C. & Koch, T. H. (1977). J. Org. Chem. 42, 2721-2725.
- Deutsch, H. M., McGowan, L., Van Derveer, D. G., Gelbaum, L. T. & Zalkow, L. H. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 1925–1927.
- Edwards, L. G., Lautens, M. & Lough, A. J. (1996). Z. Kristallogr. 211, 919-923.
- Giaimo, J. M., Gusev, A. V. & Wasielewski, M. R. (2002). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124, 8530–8531.
- Hasinoff, B. B., Creighton, A. M., Kozlowska, H., Thampatry, P., Allan, W. P. & Yalowich, J. C. (1997). Mol. Pharmacol. 52, 839–845.
- Ichimura, K., Watanabe, S., Ueno, K. & Ochi, H. (1980). Nippon Kagaku Kaishi (J. Chem. Soc. Jpn.), pp. 846–851
- Lewis, F. D., Zuo, X., Liu, J., Hayes, R. T. & Wasielewski, M. R. (2002). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124, 4568–4569.
- Moore, D. J., Powis, G., Melder, D. C., Deutsch, H. M. & Zalkow, L. H. (1990). J. Cell. Pharmacol. 1, 103–108.
- Obata, T., Shimo, T., Yasutake, M., Shinmyozu, T., Kawaminami, M., Yoshida, R. & Somekawa, K. (2001). *Tetrahedron*, 57, 1531–1541.
- Ren, S. S. & Lien, E. J. (2004). Curr. Pharm. Des. 10, 1399-1415.
- Rice, L. M. & Grogan, C. H. (1957). J. Org. Chem. 22, 1100-1103.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Shimo, T., Obata, T., Yoshimoto, S., Somekawa, K. & Kawaminami, M. (1998). Anal. Sci. 14, 863.
- Siemens (1996). XSCANS. Version 2.20. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wissonsin, USA.
- Usman, A., Razak, I. A., Fun, H.-K., Chantrapromma, S., Zhao, B.-G. & Xu, J.-H. (2001). Acta Cryst. E**57**, 0792–0793.
- Warrener, R. N., Abbenante, G. & Kennard, C. H. L. (1994). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 3645.
- Zhang, S.-S., Hu, H.-Y., Xu, J.-H., Li, X.-M. & Fun, H.-K. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, 01822–01823.